arXiv:hep-th/0402116v3 12 Apr 2005

# $q$-deformed conformal correlation functions

L. Mesref[*]

Département d'électrotechnique, faculté de Génie électrique
Université des Sciences et de la Technologie d'Oran
U.S.T.O. "Mohamed Boudiaf", Oran, Algeria

### Abstract

In this paper, we compute the general structure of two and three point functions in field theories that are assumed to possess an invariance under a quantum deformation of SO(4,2). The computation is elaborated in order to fit the Hopf algebra structures.

---

[*] Email: l_mesref@hotmail.com

# 1 Introduction

Over the last thirty years, the subject of quantum groups [1–4] has grown into a full-fledged research topic. A huge amount of literature has appeared. One can mention $q$-harmonic analysis and $q$-special functions [5], conformal field theories [6, 7], in the vertex and spin models [8, 9], anyons [10–12], in quantum optics [13], in the loop approach of quantum gravity [14] in "fuzzy physics" [15] and quantum gauge theories [16, 17].

The deformation of two-dimensional conformally invariant field theory was introduced in Ref. [18] and the properties of the correlation functions were determined. The coherent states operators and the invariant correlation functions and their quantum group counterparts, both for $sl\,(2)$ and $SU_3$, were studied in [19].

The $q$-deformation of $D = 4$ conformal algebra was first introduced in [20]. It was also studied, and additionally its contraction to deformed Poincare algebra given in Ref. [21]. The reality conditions of deformed $SO\,(6, \mathbb{C})$ were discussed in [22].

In this paper, we propose a four-dimensional conformal field theory based on the quantum universal enveloping algebra $U_q\,(so\,(4, 2))$ [20,21]. In the course of these investigations we rely on Dobrev's approach [23]. According to this procedure one first needs $q$-difference realizations of the representations in terms of functions of non-commuting variables. These variables generate a flag manifold of the matrix quantum group $SL_q\,(4)$ which is in duality with $U_q\,(sl\,(4; \mathbb{C}))$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the Cartan-Weyl basis of the quantum Lie algebra $U_q\,(sl\,(4; \mathbb{C}))$. In Section 3, we compute the $q$-deformed two- and three-point conformal correlation functions.

# 2 Cartan-Weyl basis for $U_q\,(sl\,(4; \mathbb{C}))$

The positive energy irreducible representations of $so\,(4, 2)$ are labelled by the lowest value of the energy $E_0$, the spin $s_0 = j_1 + j_2$ and by the helicity $h_0 = j_1 - j_2$, and these are eigenvalues of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $so\,(4, 2)$. We shall label the representations of $U_q\,(so\,(4, 2))$ in the same way and thus we shall take for $U_q\,(so\,(4, 2))$ and its complexification $U_q\,(so\,(6, \mathbb{C}))$ the same Cartan subalgebra. We recall that the $q$-deformation $U_q\,(so\,(6, \mathbb{C}))$ is defined [2, 3] as the associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with Chevalley generators $X_j^{\pm}$, $H_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$.

The Cartan-Chevalley basis of $U_q\,(sl\,(4, \mathbb{C}))$ is given by the formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} [H_j, H_k] &= 0 \qquad \left[H_j, X_k^{\pm}\right] = \pm\, a_{jk}\, X_k^{\pm} \\ \left[X_j^{+}, X_k^{-}\right] &= \delta_{jk} \frac{q^{H_j} - q^{-H_j}}{q - q^{-1}} = \delta_{jk} \left[H_j\right]_q \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and the $q$-analogue of the Serre relations

$$\left(X_j^{\pm}\right)^2 X_k^{\pm} - [2]_q\, X_j^{\pm} X_k^{\pm} X_j^{\pm} + X_k^{\pm} \left(X_j^{\pm}\right)^2 = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $(jk) = (12), (21), (23), (32)$ and $(a_{jk})$ is the Cartan matrix of $so\,(6,\mathbb{C})$ given by $(a_{jk}) = 2\,(\alpha_j, \alpha_k)\,/\,(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)$; $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are the simple roots of length 2 and the non-zero product between the simple roots are: $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\alpha_2, \alpha_3) = -1$ . The quantum number is defined as $[m]_q = \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}$.

Explicitly the Cartan matrix is given by:

$$(a_{jk}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

The elements $H_j$ span the Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ while the elements $X_j^{\pm}$ generate the subalgebra $\mathcal{G}^{\pm}$ in the standard decomposition $\mathcal{G} \equiv so\,(6,\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{G}^{+} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{-}$. In particular, the Cartan-Weyl generators for the non-simple roots are given by [20]:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{jk}^{\pm} &= \pm q^{\mp 1/2} \left(q^{1/2} X_j^{\pm} X_k^{\pm} - q^{-1/2} X_k^{\pm} X_j^{\pm}\right) \qquad (jk) = (12), (23) \\ X_{13}^{\pm} &= \pm q^{\mp 1/2} \left(q^{1/2} X_1^{\pm} X_{23}^{\pm} - q^{-1/2} X_{23}^{\pm} X_1^{\pm}\right) \\ &= \pm q^{\mp 1/2} \left(q^{1/2} X_{12}^{\pm} X_3^{\pm} - q^{-1/2} X_3^{\pm} X_{12}^{\pm}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

All other commutation relations follow from these definitions:

$$\begin{array}{rcllr}
\left[X_a^+, X_{ab}^-\right] & = & -q^{H_a}\, X_{a+1b}^- & \left[X_b^+, X_{ab}^-\right] = X_{ab-1}^-\, q^{-H_b} & 1 \leq a < b \leq 3 \\
\left[X_a^-, X_{ab}^+\right] & = & X_{a+1b}^+\, q^{-H_a} & \left[X_b^-, X_{ab}^+\right] = -q^{H_b}\, X_{ab-1}^+ & 1 \leq a < b \leq 3 \\
X_a^\pm X_{ab}^\pm & = & q X_{ab}^\pm X_a^\pm & X_b^\pm X_{ab}^\pm = q^{-1} X_{ab}^\pm X_a^\pm & 1 \leq a < b \leq 3 \\
X_{12}^\pm X_{13}^\pm & = & q X_{13}^\pm X_{12}^\pm & X_{23}^\pm X_{13}^\pm = q^{-1} X_{13}^\pm X_{23}^\pm & \\
\left[X_2^\pm, X_{13}^\pm\right] & = & 0 & \left[X_2^\pm, X_{13}^\mp\right] = 0 & \\
\left[X_{12}^+, X_{13}^-\right] & = & -q^{2(H_1+H_2)} X_3^- & \left[X_{12}^-, X_{13}^+\right] = X_3^+ q^{-2(H_1+H_2)} & \\
\left[X_{23}^+, X_{13}^-\right] & = & X_1^-\, q^{-2(H_2+H_3)} & \left[X_{23}^-, X_{13}^+\right] = -q^{2(H_2+H_3)} X_1^+ & \\
\left[X_{12}^\pm, X_{23}^\pm\right] & = & \lambda X_2^\pm X_{13}^\pm & \left[X_{12}^\pm, X_{23}^\mp\right] = -\lambda q^{\pm H_2} X_1^\pm X_3^\mp & (2.5)
\end{array}$$

where $\lambda = q - q^{-1}$.
Let $\mathcal{G} = su\,(2,2) \cong so\,(4,2)$ with generators:

$$M_{AB} = -M_{BA}, \quad A\,, B = 1,2,3,5,6,0,\ \eta_{AB} = diag\,(-,-,-,-,+,+) \tag{2.6}$$

which obey

$$[M_{AB}, M_{CD}] = i\left(\eta_{BC} M_{AD} - \eta_{CD} M_{BD} - \eta_{BD} M_{AC} + \eta_{AD} M_{BC}\right). \tag{2.7}$$

Besides the "physical" generators $M_{AB}$ we shall also use the "mathematical" generators $Y_{AB} = -i M_{AB}$. Consider the Bruhat decomposition: $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ (direct sum of vector spaces), where the dilatation subalgebra $\mathcal{A} = so\,(1,1)$ generated by $D = Y_{56}$ is a non-compact abelian subalgebra, the Lorentz subalgebra $\mathcal{M} = so(3,1)$ (a reductive Lie algebra) generated by $Y_{\mu\nu}$ $(\mu, \upsilon = 1,2,3,0)$ is the centralizer of $\mathcal{A}$ (mod $\mathcal{A}$), and the subalgebra of translations $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ generated by $P_\mu = Y_{\mu 5} + Y_{\mu 6}$, the subalgebra of special conformal transformations $\mathcal{N}$ generated by $K_\mu = Y_{\mu 5} - Y_{\mu 6}$, respectively, are nilpotent subalgebras forming the positive, negative, respectively, root spaces of the root system ($\mathcal{G}$,$\mathcal{A}$).

Since $su\,(2,2)$ is the conformal algebra of four dimensional Minkowski spacetime we would like to deform it consistently with the subalgebra structure relevant for the physical applications. The commutation relations besides those for the Lorentz subalgebra are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [D, Y_{\mu\nu}] & = & 0 \qquad [D, P_\mu] = 0 \qquad [D, K_\mu] = -K_\mu \\ [Y_{\mu\nu}, P_\lambda] & = & \eta_{\nu\lambda} P_\mu - \eta_{\mu\lambda} P_\nu \qquad [Y_{\mu\nu}, K_\lambda] = \eta_{\nu\lambda} K_\mu - \eta_{\mu\lambda} K_\nu \\ [P_\mu, K_\nu] & = & 2Y_{\mu\nu} + 2\eta_{\mu\nu} D. \end{array} \tag{2.8}$$

The algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\max} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ (or equivalently $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\max} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ ) is the so called maximal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$.

For the Lorentz algebra generators we have the following expressions

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H & = & -Y_{30} = \frac{1}{2}\left(H_1 + H_3\right) \quad M^{\pm} = -iY_{31} \pm iY_{10} = X_1^{\pm} + X_3^{\pm} \\ \widetilde{D} & = & -Y_{12} = \frac{i}{2}\left(H_1 - H_3\right) \quad N^{\pm} = -iY_{20} \pm iY_{23} = iX_1^{\pm} - iX_3^{\pm}. \end{array} \tag{2.9}$$

For dilatation, translations and special conformal transformations we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} D & = & \frac{1}{2}\left(H_1 + H_3\right) + H_2 \\ P_0 & = & i\left(X_{13}^{+} + X_{+}^{2}\right) \qquad P_1 = i\left(X_{12}^{+} + X_{23}^{+}\right) \\ P_2 & = & X_{12}^{+} - X_{23}^{+} \qquad P_3 = i\left(X_2^{+} - X_{13}^{+}\right) \\ K_0 & = & -i\left(X_{13}^{-} + X_2^{-}\right) \qquad K_1 = i\left(X_{12}^{-} + X_{23}^{-}\right) \\ K_2 & = & X_{23}^{-} - X_{12}^{-} \qquad K_3 = i\left(X_2^{-} - X_{13}^{-}\right). \end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

To derive the relations in $U_q\left(su\left(2,2\right)\right)$ we use equations (2.1) and (2.5). The formulae for coproduct are:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta\left(H_i\right) & = & H_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H_i \\ \Delta\left(X_{\pm i}\right) & = & X_{\pm i} \otimes q^{H_i/2} + q^{-H_i/2} \otimes X_{\pm i}. \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

The antipode and counit are defined as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S\left(H_i\right) & = & -H_i, \\ S\left(X_{+i}\right) & = & -qX_{+i}, \qquad S\left(X_{-i}\right) = -q^{-1} X_{-i}, \\ \epsilon\left(H_i\right) & = & \epsilon\left(X_{+i}\right) = 0. \end{array} \tag{2.12}$$

## 3 $q$-deformed conformal correlation functions

First let us compute the $q$-deformed 2-point conformal correlation function of scalar quasiprimary (qp) fields, with canonical dimension $d_1$ and $d_2$, defined on the $q$-deformed Minkowski spacetime[1] [24]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_\pm v &= q^{\pm 1} v x_\pm, & x_\pm \overline{v} = q^{\pm 1}\overline{v} x_\pm, \\ \lambda v\overline{v} &= x_+ x_- - x_- x_+, & \overline{v} v = v\overline{v}, \\ x_\pm &\equiv x^0 \pm x^3 \qquad v \equiv x^1 - i x^2 & \overline{v} \equiv x^1 + i x^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The $q$-Minkowsi length is

$$\mathcal{L}_q = x_- x_+ - q^{-1} v\overline{v}. \tag{3.2}$$

These qp-fields are reduced functions and can be written as formal power series in the $q$-Minkowski coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \phi(Y) = \phi(v, x_-, x_+, \overline{v}) \\ &= \sum_{j,n,l,m \in Z_+} \mu_{jnlm}\, \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m}, \\ \phi_{jnlm} &= v^j x_-^n x_+^l \overline{v}^m. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Next we introduce the following operators acting on the reduced functions as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M}_\kappa \phi(Y) &= \sum_{j,n,l,m \in Z_+} \mu_{jnlm}\, \widehat{M}_\kappa\, \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} \\ T_\kappa \phi(Y) &= \sum_{j,n,l,m \in Z_+} \mu_{jnlm} T_\kappa \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

---

[1] Up to Eq. (3.9) section 3 follows the paper [24].

where $\kappa = \pm, v, \overline{v}$ and the explicit action on $\phi_{j\ n\ l\ m}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{M}_v \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= \phi_{j+1\ n\ l\ m} \\
\widehat{M}_- \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= \phi_{j\ n+1\ l\ m} \\
\widehat{M}_+ \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= \phi_{j\ n\ l+1\ m} \\
\widehat{M}_{\overline{v}} \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m+1} \\
T_v \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= q^j \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} \\
T_- \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= q^n \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} \\
T_+ \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= q^l \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} \\
T_{\overline{v}} \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= q^m \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The $q$-difference operators are defined by

$$\widehat{D}_\kappa \phi = \frac{1}{\lambda} \widehat{M}_\kappa^{-1} \left( T_\kappa - T_\kappa^{-1} \right) \phi. \tag{3.6}$$

The representation action of $U_q\left(sl\left(4\right)\right)$ on the reduced functions $\phi\left(Y\right)$ of the representation space $C^\Lambda$, with the signature $\chi = \chi\left(\Lambda\right) = \left(m_1, m_2, m_3\right) = \left(1, 1-d, 1\right)$ and which corresponds to a spinless "scalar" field $\left[d, j_1, j_2\right] = \left[d, 0, 0\right]$ is given by[2] :

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(k_1\right) \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= q^{(j-n+l-m)/2} \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m}, \\
\pi\left(k_2\right) \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= q^{n+(j+m+d)/2} \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m}, \\
\pi\left(k_3\right) \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= q^{(-j-n+l+m)/2} \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m}, \\
\pi\left(X_{+1}\right) \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= q^{-1+(j-n-l+m)/2} \left[n\right]_q \phi_{j+1\ n-1\ l\ m} \\
&\quad + q^{-1+(j-n+l-m)/2} \left[m\right]_q \phi_{j\ n\ l+1\ m-1}, \\
\pi\left(X_{+2}\right) \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= q^{(-j+m)/2} \left[j+n+m+d\right]_q \phi_{j\ n+1\ l\ m} \\
&\quad + q^{d+(j+n+3m)/2} \left[l\right]_q \phi_{j+1\ n\ l-1\ m+1}, \\
\pi\left(X_{+3}\right) \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m} &= -q^{-1+(j+n-l-m)/2} \left[j\right]_q \phi_{j-1\ n\ l+1\ m} \\
&\quad - q^{-1+(3j+n-3l-m)/2} \left[n\right]_q \phi_{j\ n-1\ l\ m+1},
\end{aligned}$$

---

[2] The general case is given in Ref. [25]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(X_{-1}\right)\phi_{j\;n\;l\;m} &= q^{2+(-j+n-l+m)/2}\left[j\right]_q\phi_{j-1\;n+1\;l\;m} \\
&\quad +q^{2+(j-n-l+m)/2}\left[l\right]_q\phi_{j\;n\;l-1\,m+1}, \\
\pi\left(X_{-2}\right)\phi_{j\;n\;l\;m} &= -q^{(j-m)/2}\left[n\right]_q\phi_{j\;n-1\;l\;m}, \\
\pi\left(X_{-3}\right)\phi_{j\;n\;l\;m} &= -q^{(-j-3n+l+3m)/2}\left[l\right]_q\phi_{j+1\;n\;l-1\;m} \\
&\quad -q^{(-j-n+l+m)/2}\left[m\right]_q\phi_{j\;n+1\;l\;m-1}, \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $k_i = q^{H_i/2}$.

Now let us define $\mathcal{D} = q^D$, where $D$ is the dilatation generator defined in Eq. (2.10). The representation of this generator on the reduced functions $\phi$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\phi\left(Y\right) &= \mu_{jnlm}\pi\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\phi_{j\;n\;l\;m} \\
&= q^d\mu_{jnlm}q^{j+n+l+m}\phi_{j\;n\;l\;m} = q^d\phi\left(qY\right). \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

The coproduct for this operator is given by

$$
\Delta\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}\otimes\mathcal{D}. \qquad (3.9)
$$

Now let us calculate two point $q$-correlation functions by imposing that they are invariant under the action of $U_q\left(sl\left(4,\mathbb{C}\right)\right)$. We denote the $q$-deformed correlation functions of $N$ quasiprimary fields as

$$
\left\langle\phi_1\left(Y_1\right)...\phi_N\left(Y_N\right)\right\rangle_q = {}_q\left\langle 0\left|\phi_{d_1}\left(Y_1\right)...\phi_{d_N}\left(Y_N\right)\right|0\right\rangle_q, \qquad (3.10)
$$

where $|0\rangle_q$ is a $U_q\left(sl\left(4,\mathbb{C}\right)\right)$ invariant vacuum such that $\pi\left(\mathcal{D}\right)|0\rangle_q = |0\rangle_q$, $\pi\left(X_{+i}\right)|0\rangle_q = 0$ and also for ${}_q\langle 0|$ . The identities for the two-point correlation functions of two quasiprimary fields of the conformal weights $d_1$, $d_2$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(\pi\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\right)\left\langle\phi_1\left(Y_1\right)\phi_2\left(Y_2\right)\right\rangle_q &= \left(\pi\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\otimes\pi\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\right)\left\langle\phi_1\left(Y_1\right)\phi_2\left(Y_2\right)\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle\phi_1\left(Y_1\right)\phi_2\left(Y_2\right)\right\rangle_q \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta\left(\pi\left(X_{\pm i}\right)\right)\left\langle\phi_1\left(Y_1\right)\phi_2\left(Y_2\right)\right\rangle_q = \\
&\left(\pi\left(X_{\pm i}\right)\otimes q^{\pi(H_i/2)}+q^{-\pi(H_i/2)}\otimes\pi\left(X_{\pm i}\right)\right). \\
&\left\langle\phi_1\left(Y_1\right)\phi_2\left(Y_2\right)\right\rangle_q = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

The $q$-correlation functions are covariant under dilatation, whereas the remaining identities lead to six $q$-difference equations.

Let us first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{j+1\ n-1\ l\ m} &= q^j v\left(x_-\right)^{-1}\ \phi_{j\ n\ l\ m}, \\
\phi_{j\ n\ l+1\ m-1} &= q^m\phi_{j\ n\ l\ m}\ x_+\left(\overline{v}\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

and so on,

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{\pm j/2}\phi\left(v,x_-,x_+,\overline{v}\right) &= \phi\left(q^{\pm 1/2}v,x_-,x_+,\overline{v}\right), \\
q^{\pm n/2}\phi\left(v,x_-,x_+,\overline{v}\right) &= \phi\left(v,q^{\pm 1/2}x_-,x_+,\overline{v}\right), ...
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[n\right]_q\phi &= \lambda^{-1}\left(\phi\left(v,qx_-,x_+,\overline{v}\right)-\phi\left(v,q^{-1}x_-,x_+,\overline{v}\right)\right) \\
&= \widehat{D}_-\,\phi\left(v,x_-,x_+,\overline{v}\right), \\
\left[m\right]_q\phi &= \lambda^{-1}\left(\phi\left(v,x_-,x_+,q\overline{v}\right)-\phi\left(v,x_-,x_+,q^{-1}\overline{v}\right)\right) \\
&= \widehat{D}_{\overline{v}}\,\phi\left(v,x_-,x_+,\overline{v}\right), ...
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and so forth.

The first identity for $X_{+1}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{j} v_1 \left(x_{-1}\right)^{-1}\ \langle \widehat{D}_{-}\, \phi_1 \left(q^{1/2} v_1, q^{-1/2} x_{-1}, q^{-1/2} x_{+1}, q^{1/2} \overline{v}_1\right) . \\
&\phi_2 \left(q^{1/2} v_2, q^{-1/2} x_{-2}, q^{1/2} x_{+2}, q^{-1/2} \overline{v}_2\right)\rangle_q \\
&+q^{m} \langle \widehat{D}_{\overline{v}} \phi_1 \left(q^{1/2} v_1, q^{-1/2} x_{-1}, q^{1/2} x_{+1}, q^{-1/2} \overline{v}_1\right) x_{+1} \left(\overline{v}_2\right)^{-1} . \\
&\phi_2 \left(q^{1/2} v_2, q^{-1/2} x_{-2}, q^{1/2} x_{+2}, q^{-1/2} \overline{v}_2\right)\rangle_q \\
&+q^{j} v_2 \left(x_{-2}\right)^{-1}\ \langle \phi_1 \left(q^{1/2} v_1, q^{-1/2} x_{-1}, q^{1/2} x_{+1}, q^{-1/2} \overline{v}_{-1}\right) . \\
&\widehat{D}_{-} \phi_2 \left(q^{1/2} v_2, q^{-1/2} x_{-2}, q^{-1/2} x_{+2}, q^{1/2} \overline{v}_2\right)\rangle_q \\
&+q^{m} \langle \phi_1 \left(q^{1/2} v_1, q^{-1/2} x_{-1}, q^{1/2} x_{+1}, q^{-1/2} \overline{v}_1\right) x_{+2} \left(\overline{v}_2\right)^{-1} . \\
&\widehat{D}_{\overline{v}} \phi_2 \left(q^{1/2} v_2, q^{-1/2} x_{-2}, q^{1/2} x_{+2}, q^{-1/2} \overline{v}_1\right)\rangle_q = 0, \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

and five other $q$-difference equations.

The solution of these $q$-difference equations exists when the conformal dimensions $d_1$ and $d_2$ are equal: $d_1 = d_2 = d$ and is determined uniquely up to a constant. Let us use the twistors $Y = Y^{\mu}\sigma_{\mu}$. More explicitly, the matrices $Y$ are given by:

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_0 - ix_2 \\ x_0 + ix_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_+ & v \\ \overline{v} & x_- \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.17)$$

where $x_+, x_-, v, \overline{v}$ are $q$-deformed Minkowski coordinates defined in Eq. (3.1).

It is easy to see that the quantum determinant[3]

$$det_q Y = x_- x_+ - q^{-1} v\overline{v} \qquad \text{and} \qquad det_q \left(Y_1 - Y_2\right) = det_q\, Y_1 \left(I - Y_1^{-1} Y_2\right), \qquad (3.18)$$

where $I$ is a $2 \times 2$ identity matrix. The $q$-deformed two-point conformal correlation function reads

$$\left\langle \phi_1\left(Y_1\right) \phi_2\left(Y_2\right)\right\rangle_q = C\left(q\right)\ \left(det_q\, Y_1\right)^{-d}\quad {}_1\varphi_0 \left(d; q^{1-d/2} Y_1^{-1} Y_2\right), \qquad (3.19)$$

---

[3] We use Manin's notation [1].

where $C(q)$ is a constant and where the quantum hypergeometric function with matricial argument is given by:

$$ {}_1\varphi_0(d;Y) = det_q \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(I - q^l Y\right)^{-1} \left(I - q^{d+l} Y\right). \tag{3.20} $$

One easily see that the $q$-correlation function reduces to the undeformed conformal correlation function because ${}_1\varphi_0(d;Y)$ becomes ${}_1F_0(d;Y) = det\,(I-Y)^{-d}$ in the limit $q \to 1$.

The identities for the $q$-deformed three-point conformal correlation functions read

$$ \begin{aligned} &\left(\pi(X_i) \otimes q^{\pi(-H_i/2)} \otimes q^{\pi(-H_i/2)} + q^{\pi(-H_i/2)} \otimes \pi(X_i) \otimes q^{\pi(-H_i/2)}\right. \\ &\left.+ q^{\pi(-H_i/2)} \otimes q^{\pi(-H_i/2)} \otimes \pi(X_i)\right) \langle \phi_1(Y_1)\, \phi_2(Y_2)\, \phi_3(Y_3)\rangle_q = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21} $$

$$ \left(\pi(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \pi(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \pi(\mathcal{D})\right) \langle \phi_1(Y_1)\, \phi_2(Y_2)\, \phi_3(Y_3)\rangle_q = \langle \phi_1(Y_1)\, \phi_2(Y_2)\, \phi_3(Y_3)\rangle_q . \tag{3.22} $$

The solutions are given by

$$ \begin{aligned} &\langle \phi_1(Y_1)\, \phi_2(Y_2)\, \phi_3(Y_3)\rangle_q = C_{ijk} \\ &(det_q Y_1)^{-\gamma_{12}^3}\ {}_1\varphi_0\left(\gamma_{12}^3; q^{1-d_1/2} Y_1^{-1} Y_2\right). \\ &(det_q Y_2)^{-\gamma_{23}^1}\ {}_1\varphi_0\left(\gamma_{23}^1; q^{1-d_2/2} Y_2^{-1} Y_3\right). \\ &(det_q Y_1)^{-\gamma_{31}^2}\ {}_1\varphi_0\left(\gamma_{31}^2; q^{1+\frac{d_2-d_1}{2}} Y_1^{-1} Y_3\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.23} $$

where $\gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{d_k - d_i - d_j}{2}$ and $C_{ijk}$ are the structure constants.

In a recent paper [26], we studied the quantum gauge theory on the quantum anti-de Sitter space $AdS_5^q$ and computed the quantum metrics. Given these results, we can study the quantum analogue of the celebrated AdS/CFT correspondence [27] and generalize the methods used in [28] to the $q$-deformed case.

### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank V. K. Dobrev and J. Lukierski for sending their useful comments. I am very grateful to W. Rühl for interesting discussions.

# References

[1] Yu. I. Manin: Quantum groups and non-commutative geometry, Montreal University preprint, CRM-1561 (1988); L. D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and LA. Takhtajan, Lenningrad Math. J. **1**, 193 (1990).

[2] V. Drinfeld, “Quantum Groups” Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, (Berkeley, California, 1986) A. M. Gleason (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI. 1986), p. 798.

[3] M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. **10**, 63 (1985); Lett. Math. Phys. **11**, 247 (1986).

[4] S. L. Woronowicz, Commun. Math. Phys. **111**, 613 (1987); Commun. Math. Phys. **122**, 125 (1989).

[5] G. Lusztig, Adv. Math. **70**, 237 (1988).

[6] L. Alvarez-Gaume, C. Gomez and G. Siera, Phys. Lett. **B220**, 142 (1989).

[7] G. Moore and N. Reshetikhin, Nucl. Phys. **B220**, 557 (1989).

[8] H. de Vega, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4**, 2371 (1989).

[9] V. Pasquier and H. Saleur, Nucl. Phys. **B330**, 523 (1990).

[10] A. Lerda and S. Sciuto, Nucl. Phys. **B401**, 613 (1993).

[11] P. Roy, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11**, 1489 (1996).

[12] M. R. Ubriaco, Phys. Rev. **E55**, 291 (1997).

[13] M. Chaichian, D. Ellinas and P. Kulish, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 980 (1990); L. M. Kuang, J. Mod. Opt. **41**, 517 (1994); K. P. Marzlin, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **36**, 841 (1997); C. Zhe, Phys. Rev. **A47**, 5017 (1993).

[14] S. Major and L. Smolin, Nucl. Phys. **B473**, 267 (1996).

[15] J. Madore, Ann. Phys. **219**, 187 (1992); ibid. An introduction to Noncommutative Differential Geometry and its Physical Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[16] D. Bernard, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **102**, 49 (1990); I. Ya. Aref’eva and I. V. Volovich, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A6**, 893 (1991); L. Castellani, Phys. Lett. **B237**, 22 (1994); M. Hirayama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **88**, 111 (1992); Y. Frishman, J. Lukierski and W. Z. Zakrzewski, J. Math. **A26**, 301 (1993); A. P. Isaev and Z. Popowicz, Phys. Lett. **B307**, 353 (1993); K. Wu and R. J. Zhang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **17**, 175 (1992); S.

Watamura, Commun. Math. Phys. **158**, 67 (1993); L. L. Chau and I. Yamanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1916 (1993); Bo-Yan Hou and Zhong-Qi Ma, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 5110 (1995); P. Isaev and O. V. Ogievetsky, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **102**, 306 (2001); ibid. Theor. Math. Phys. **129**, 1558 (2001).

[17] L. Mesref, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A18**, 209 (2003); Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Paris 15-20 July 2002; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A17**, 4777 (2002); New Journal of Physics **5**, 7 (2003).

[18] D. Bernard and A. Leclair, Phys. Lett. **B227**, 417 (1989).

[19] P. Furlan, Y. S. Stanev and I. T. Todorov, Lett. Math. Phys. **22**, 307 (1991); H. Sazdjian, Y. S. Stanev and I. T. Todorov, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 2030 (1995).

[20] V. K. Dobrev, Canonical $q$-Deformations of Noncompact Lie (Super-) Algebras, Göttingen University preprint, (July 1991) and J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26**, 1317 (1993).

[21] J. Lukierski and A. Nowicki, Phys. Lett. **B279**, 299 (1992).

[22] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and J. Sobczyk, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26**, 4047 (1993).

[23] V. K. Dobrev, J. Phys. **A27**, 4841 (1994).

[24] V. K. Dobrev, Phys. Lett. **341B**, 133 (1994); **346B**, 427 (1995).

[25] V. K. Dobrev, J. Math. A: Math. Gen. **28**, 7135 (1995).

[26] L. Mesref, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 065007 (2003).

[27] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998); S. S. Gubser, I. K. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B428**, 105 (1998); E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998).

[28] L. Hoffmann, T. Leonhardt, L. Mesref and W. Rühl, Talk given at 37th Karpacz Winter School of Theoretical Physics: New Developments in Fundamental Interactions Theories, Karpacz, Poland, 6-15 Feb 2001. Published in *Karpacz 2001, New developments in fundamental interaction theories* 367-376 e-Print Archive: hep-th/0102162; L. Hoffmann, L. Mesref and W. Rühl, Nucl. Phys. **B608**, 177 (2001); ibid. Nucl. Phys. **B589**, 337 (2000).